

Dear Mr. Weinberg

As a leading researcher about the assassination of John Fitzgerald Kennedy, I am turning to you in hope that you can provide me with your facts about certain issues relating to evidence put forth by the Warren Commission.

I have been troubled about a number of ~~discrepancies~~ the Warren Commission overlooked and feel, that with your knowledge and access to files about the assassination, you may be able to resolve the problems I have been at a loss to answer.

First of all, the Warren Commission accepts the finding that on the morning of November 22, 1963, Lee Harvey Oswald, prior to his departure for the "T. S. B. D.", deposited his wedding ring in a tea cup located on his wife's bedroom bureau and also, left his wallet in the same dresser bureau.

Upon his arrest, the Warren Commission accepts the finding that Lee Harvey Oswald was in possession of a wallet containing an array of identification.

My question is simple. If Oswald left his wallet at his wife's residence, and was later arrested with a wallet in his possession, did the Warren Commission ever report that Oswald possessed two wallets or that the wallets in question were one and the same.

If he owned two wallets, what contents were found in the wallet left at his wife's residence. I have never been able to discover any more than the report that it contained money.

If on the otherhand, the wallets are ~~one~~ one and the same, how did Oswald gain access to it if he reportedly never returned to his wife's residence prior to being arrested.

Have I missed something in my reading or is this a discrepancy with the findings.

As for the wedding ring Oswald is said to have left at his wife's residence, photographic evidence shows Oswald to be wearing a ring on the traditional wedding ring finger, while in custody.

Again my question is simple. How did Oswald regain possession of his wedding ring? If the ring Oswald is wearing while in custody is the same one that he left at his wife's residence, did Marina bring it to his interrogations who in turn gave it to Oswald or did she give it to him directly during her visit with him at the Dallas Police Headquarters. If not, how did Oswald regain possession of his wedding ring if the ring he is wearing in photograph is his wedding ring.

Again did Oswald possess two

rings, one being his wedding ring and the other, a ring of some other personal significance. If not, and the ring Oswald is wearing while in custody is his wedding ring and was on his finger at the time of his arrest, this would suggest to me that the Warren Commission overlooked this discrepancy.

Nevertheless, when Oswald was shot, photographic evidence shows Oswald not to be wearing a ring, a point of interest that has caused me to focus back on the whole issue about the ring and later, the wallet problem.

If you can shed light on my problems, I would be most appreciative. I do not have access to the 26 volumes of testimony and evidence therefore, my task to find out this information is trying.

I have a personal library of 300 plus books on the assassination, of which your works are central. However, information about my problem concerning the ring and wallet episodes are sketchy. Hopefully, you can assist me in my endeavor to resolve this matter.

yours respectfully,  
Duncan Armstrong